Heptachlor and Heptachlor Epoxide in Drinking-water

Background document for development of WHO *Guidelines for Drinking-water Quality*

© World Health Organization 2004

Requests for permission to reproduce or translate WHO publications - whether for sale of for non-commercial distribution - should be addressed to Publications (Fax: +41 22 791 4806; e-mail: permissions@who.int.

The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the World Health Organization concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries.

The mention of specific companies or of certain manufacturers' products does not imply that they are endorsed or recommended by the World Health Organization in preference to others of a similar nature that are not mentioned. Errors and omissions excepted, the names of proprietary products are distinguished by initial capital letters.

The World Health Organization does not warrant that the information contained in this publication is complete and correct and shall not be liable for any damage incurred as a results of its use.

Preface

One of the primary goals of WHO and its member states is that "all people, whatever their stage of development and their social and economic conditions, have the right to have access to an adequate supply of safe drinking water." A major WHO function to achieve such goals is the responsibility "to propose ... regulations, and to make recommendations with respect to international health matters"

The first WHO document dealing specifically with public drinking-water quality was published in 1958 as *International Standards for Drinking-water*. It was subsequently revised in 1963 and in 1971 under the same title. In 1984–1985, the first edition of the WHO *Guidelines for Drinking-water Quality* (GDWQ) was published in three volumes: Volume 1, Recommendations; Volume 2, Health criteria and other supporting information; and Volume 3, Surveillance and control of community supplies. Second editions of these volumes were published in 1993, 1996 and 1997, respectively. Addenda to Volumes 1 and 2 of the second edition were published in 1998, addressing selected chemicals. An addendum on microbiological aspects reviewing selected microorganisms was published in 2002.

The GDWQ are subject to a rolling revision process. Through this process, microbial, chemical and radiological aspects of drinking-water are subject to periodic review, and documentation related to aspects of protection and control of public drinking-water quality is accordingly prepared/updated.

Since the first edition of the GDWQ, WHO has published information on health criteria and other supporting information to the GDWQ, describing the approaches used in deriving guideline values and presenting critical reviews and evaluations of the effects on human health of the substances or contaminants examined in drinkingwater.

For each chemical contaminant or substance considered, a lead institution prepared a health criteria document evaluating the risks for human health from exposure to the particular chemical in drinking-water. Institutions from Canada, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Sweden, United Kingdom and United States of America prepared the requested health criteria documents.

Under the responsibility of the coordinators for a group of chemicals considered in the guidelines, the draft health criteria documents were submitted to a number of scientific institutions and selected experts for peer review. Comments were taken into consideration by the coordinators and authors before the documents were submitted for final evaluation by the experts meetings. A "final task force" meeting reviewed the health risk assessments and public and peer review comments and, where appropriate, decided upon guideline values. During preparation of the third edition of the GDWQ, it was decided to include a public review via the world wide web in the process of development of the health criteria documents.

During the preparation of health criteria documents and at experts meetings, careful consideration was given to information available in previous risk assessments carried out by the International Programme on Chemical Safety, in its Environmental Health Criteria monographs and Concise International Chemical Assessment Documents, the International Agency for Research on Cancer, the joint FAO/WHO Meetings on Pesticide Residues and the joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives (which evaluates contaminants such as lead, cadmium, nitrate and nitrite, in addition to food additives).

Further up-to-date information on the GDWQ and the process of their development is available on the WHO internet site and in the current edition of the GDWQ.

Acknowledgements

The first draft of Heptachlor and Heptachlor Epoxide in Drinking-water, Background document for development of WHO *Guidelines for Drinking-water Quality*, was prepared by Dr P. Toft, Canada, to whom special thanks are due.

The work of the following working group coordinators was crucial in the development of this document and others in the third edition:

Mr J.K. Fawell, United Kingdom (Organic and inorganic constituents)

Dr E. Ohanian, Environmental Protection Agency, USA (Disinfectants and disinfection by-products)

Ms M. Giddings, Health Canada (Disinfectants and disinfection by-products)

Dr P. Toft, Canada (Pesticides)

Prof. Y. Magara, Hokkaido University, Japan (Analytical achievability)

Mr P. Jackson, WRc-NSF, United Kingdom (*Treatment achievability*)

The contribution of peer reviewers is greatly appreciated. The draft text was posted on the world wide web for comments from the public. The revised text and the comments were discussed at the Final Task Force Meeting for the third edition of the GDWQ, held on 31 March to 4 April 2003, at which time the present version was finalized. The input of those who provided comments and of participants in the meeting is gratefully reflected in the final text.

The WHO coordinators were as follows:

Dr J. Bartram, Coordinator, Water Sanitation and Health Programme, WHO Headquarters, and formerly WHO European Centre for Environmental Health

Mr P. Callan, Water Sanitation and Health Programme, WHO Headquarters Mr H. Hashizume, Water Sanitation and Health Programme, WHO Headquarters

Ms C. Vickers provided a liaison with the International Chemical Safety Programme, WHO Headquarters.

Ms Marla Sheffer of Ottawa, Canada, was responsible for the scientific editing of the document.

Many individuals from various countries contributed to the development of the GDWQ. The efforts of all who contributed to the preparation of this document and in particular those who provided peer or public domain review comment are greatly appreciated.

Acronyms and abbreviations used in the text

ADI acceptable daily intake

CAS Chemical Abstracts Service

DNA deoxyribonucleic acid

FAO Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations

IARC International Agency for Research on Cancer
JMPR Joint FAO/WHO Meeting on Pesticide Residues

LD₅₀ median lethal dose

LOAEL lowest-observed-adverse-effect level NOAEL no-observed-adverse-effect level PTDI provisional tolerable daily intake

USA United States of America
WHO World Health Organization

Table of contents

1.	GENERAL DESCRIPTION	1
	1.1 Identity	1
	1.2 Physicochemical properties	. 1
	1.3 Organoleptic properties	
	1.4 Major uses	
	1.5 Environmental fate	
2.	ANALYTICAL METHODS	2
3.	ENVIRONMENTAL LEVELS AND HUMAN EXPOSURE	2
	3.1 Air	2
	3.2 Water	2
	3.3 Food	2
	3.4 Estimated total exposure and relative contribution of drinking-water	2
4.	KINETICS AND METABOLISM IN LABORATORY ANIMALS AND	
	UMANS	2
5.	EFFECTS ON EXPERIMENTAL ANIMALS AND IN VITRO TEST SYSTEMS	33
	5.1 Acute exposure	3
	5.2 Short-term exposure	
	5.3 Long-term exposure	
	5.4 Reproductive and developmental toxicity	
	5.5 Mutagenicity and related end-points	
	5.6 Carcinogenicity	
6.	EFFECTS ON HUMANS	.4
7.	CONCLUSIONS	.4
8	REFERENCES	5

1. GENERAL DESCRIPTION

1.1 Identity

Compound	CAS No.	Molecular formula
Heptachlor	76-44-8	$C_{10}H_5Cl_7$
Heptachlor epoxide	1024-57-3	$C_{10}H_5Cl_7O$

Heptachlor is the common name for 1,4,5,6,7,8,8-heptachloro-3a,4,7,7a-tetrahydro-4,7-methano-1H-indene. Heptachlor epoxide is the common name for 2,3,4,5,6,7,7-heptachloro-1a,1b,5,5a,6,6a-hexahydro-2,5-methano-2H-indene(1,2b)oxirene (1).

1.2 Physicochemical properties (1–7)

Property	Heptachlor	Heptachlor epoxide
Melting point (°C)	93	160–161.5 (99.5% pure)
Specific gravity	1.57–1.59	_
Vapour pressure at 25 °C (kPa)	53×10^{-6}	53×10^{-6}
Log octanol-water partition coefficient	3.87-5.44	4.43-5.40
Water solubility at 25 °C (mg/litre)	0.056	0.35

1.3 Organoleptic properties

Pure heptachlor is a white crystalline solid with a camphor-like odour.

1.4 Major uses

Heptachlor is applied as a soil treatment, as a seed treatment (maize, small grains and sorghum) or directly to foliage. It is used to control ants, cutworms, maggots, termites, thrips, weevils, wireworms and many other insect pests in both cultivated and uncultivated soils. Heptachlor also controls household insects and pests of humans and domestic animals (5). In many countries, heptachlor is banned or applied only by subsurface injection.

Heptachlor epoxide is not commercially available but is an oxidation product of heptachlor (1).

1.5 Environmental fate

Heptachlor is moderately persistent in soil, where it is mainly transformed into its epoxide. It may undergo significant photolysis, oxidation and volatilization (6,8,9). It binds to soil particles and migrates slowly (10). The soil half-life of heptachlor under certain conditions may be as long as 2 years (11). Heptachlor epoxide is very resistant to further chemical or biological changes in soil. It binds to soil particles and migrates slowly (10). Its half-life in various soils has been reported to be as long as several years (12).

Photolysis, oxidation, hydrolysis and biotic reactions do not appear to be important processes in reducing heptachlor epoxide levels in aquatic media (6,8), whereas volatilization seems to be significant (13).

2. ANALYTICAL METHODS

Heptachlor may be determined in water samples by liquid–liquid extraction followed by gas chromatography. Detection and measurement may be accomplished by electron capture or electrolytic conductivity gas chromatography. The sensitivity of the method is 1-10 ng/litre (14).

3. ENVIRONMENTAL LEVELS AND HUMAN EXPOSURE

3.1 Air

In a survey carried out in the USA in 1971, heptachlor was found in samples from two of nine cities at a maximum level of 19.2 ng/m³ (15). In air samples taken from 1972 to 1974 in a cotton-growing area of the USA, the maximum heptachlor level was 0.8 ng/m³ (16).

3.2 Water

Heptachlor and heptachlor epoxide have been found in drinking-water at ng/litre levels (17–19). Heptachlor epoxide has been found in drinking-water, groundwater, land runoff and river water at seven locations in the USA and Europe and in sediments, lakes, rivers, tap water and effluent from a biological sewage treatment plant at 28 such locations (19,20).

3.3 Food

Heptachlor and heptachlor epoxide have been found in many food classes (21,22). Human milk can be contaminated with heptachlor epoxide (23). Based on a total diet study conducted by the US Food and Drug Administration, estimated daily intakes of heptachlor and heptachlor epoxide for men aged 25–30 were 0.007 μ g and 0.184 μ g, respectively (24).

3.4 Estimated total exposure and relative contribution of drinking-water

Diet is likely to be the greatest source of exposure to heptachlor epoxide.

4. KINETICS AND METABOLISM IN LABORATORY ANIMALS AND HUMANS

Heptachlor is rapidly absorbed from the gastrointestinal tract of rats following intragastric administration (25). Heptachlor epoxide is distributed throughout the body of rats and dogs (25,26). Heptachlor is metabolized by rats to heptachlor epoxide, 1-hydroxychlordene and 1-hydroxy-2,3-epoxychlordene, which are the major faecal

metabolites. *In vitro* studies have shown that heptachlor epoxide formation is greater in rats than in humans and that metabolism is, in general, comparable in the two species. Faeces represent the major route of heptachlor elimination by rats (27).

5. EFFECTS ON EXPERIMENTAL ANIMALS AND IN VITRO TEST SYSTEMS

5.1 Acute exposure

In the rat, mouse, rabbit, guinea-pig, hamster and chicken, oral LD₅₀s for heptachlor range from 40 to 260 mg/kg of body weight (28).

5.2 Short-term exposure

Evidence of significant liver damage and altered liver function was reported in rats maintained on diets containing heptachlor at 7–12 mg/kg of body weight per day for up to 14 days and 1 mg/kg of body weight per day for 5–7 days (29,30).

5.3 Long-term exposure

Male and female rats were fed diets containing heptachlor epoxide at 0, 5, 10, 20, 40, 80, 160 or 300 mg/kg for 2 years. Concentrations of 80 mg/kg or higher resulted in 100% mortality in 2–20 weeks. At 40 mg/kg, all the females died within 54 weeks, but there was no effect on male mortality up to 104 weeks. Diets containing 20 mg/kg or less did not produce any sign of illness in male or female rats, but an increase in liver weight was observed in male rats dosed with more than 10 mg/kg and females given 5 mg/kg (31).

Diets containing 0, 0.5, 2.5, 5.0 or 7.5 mg of heptachlor epoxide per kg were given to groups of five dogs for 60 weeks. No deaths attributed to heptachlor epoxide occurred. The weights of the male dogs increased in inverse proportion to the concentration of the compound in the diet, whereas those of the females were normal. Liver weights increased at 5.0 mg/kg and above. Degenerative liver changes were seen in only one dog at 7.5 mg/kg. From this study, a NOAEL of 2.5 mg/kg of diet, equivalent to 0.06 mg/kg of body weight per day, can be derived (31).

In a 2-year study, dogs fed heptachlor epoxide in the diet at concentrations of 0, 1, 3, 5, 7 or 10 mg/kg exhibited an increase in liver weight at the highest concentration and an increase in the incidence of histopathological changes in the liver (enlargement and vacuolation of centrilobular or scattered hepatocytes) at all but the lowest concentration. Similar histopathological changes persisted during 6 months of the recovery period. The NOAEL was 1 mg/kg of diet, equivalent to 0.025 mg/kg of body weight per day (32).

5.4 Reproductive and developmental toxicity

According to a poorly documented multigenerational study in rats fed heptachlor, litter size and viability were reduced and cataracts occurred in pups (33). No

indications of teratogenicity have been found in rats, rabbits, chickens or beagle dogs exposed to heptachlor (28). Rats fed 19.5 mg of heptachlor per kg of diet for 90 days showed a decrease in androgen receptor sites, nucleic acids and proteins in the ventral prostate (34).

Fertility was inhibited in female mice by three heptachlor injections of 25 mg/kg of body weight given at a rate of one per week. There was also an increase in estrogen metabolism and a decrease in the uterotropic activity of estrogen. Inhibition of the response of rat uterus to estrogen was seen; the LOAEL was 5 mg/kg of body weight for 7 days (35).

In a two-generation reproduction study in dogs fed heptachlor epoxide in the diet at concentrations of 1, 3, 5, 7 or 10 mg/kg, there was an increase in the mortality of F_2 pups at all but the lowest concentration. The NOAEL based on this finding was 1 mg/kg, equivalent to 0.025 mg/kg of body weight per day (32).

5.5 Mutagenicity and related end-points

Heptachlor did not induce dominant lethal mutations in mice. In one study, it induced unscheduled DNA synthesis in human fibroblast cultures but not repair synthesis in cultured rodent cells. It inhibited intercellular communication in rodent cell systems but was not mutagenic in cultured rat liver cells. It did not induce sex-linked recessive mutations in *Drosophila* or gene conversion in yeast. It was mutagenic in plants but not in bacteria. In one study, positive results were reported for technical-grade but not commercial-grade heptachlor. Heptachlor did not produce plasmid DNA breakage (36).

5.6 Carcinogenicity

Heptachlor containing about 20% chlordane produced neoplasms in mice following oral administration; the results of studies on rats were inconclusive. Oral administration of heptachlor increased the incidence of liver tumours induced in mice by the oral administration of *N*-nitrosodiethylamine (*36*).

6. EFFECTS ON HUMANS

Clinical case-studies of acute exposure (via the oral, dermal or inhalation route) to chlordane-containing heptachlor document a pattern of central nervous system effects similar to that found in animals (e.g., irritability, salivation, laboured respiration, muscle tremors, convulsions) (37,38). Heptachlor does not appear to be carcinogenic in humans (39–42).

7. CONCLUSIONS

IARC reviewed the data on heptachlor in 2000 and concluded that the evidence for carcinogenicity was sufficient in animals and inadequate in humans, classifying it in Group 2B (43). JMPR has evaluated heptachlor on several occasions and in 1991

established an ADI of $0.1 \mu g/kg$ of body weight on the basis of a NOAEL of $0.025 \mu g/kg$ of body weight per day from two studies in the dog, incorporating an uncertainty factor of 200 (100 for inter- and intraspecies variation and 2 for the inadequacy of the database) (32). This ADI was converted into a PTDI with the same numerical value by JMPR in 1994 (44).

The main source of exposure of the general population to heptachlor and heptachlor epoxide seems to be food. A health-based value of $0.03~\mu g/litre$ can be calculated with an allocation of 1% of the PTDI to drinking-water. However, because heptachlor and heptachlor epoxide occur at concentrations in drinking-water well below those at which toxic effects are expected to be observed, it is not considered necessary to derive a guideline value. It should also be noted that concentrations below $0.1~\mu g/litre$ are generally not achievable using conventional treatment technology.

8. REFERENCES

- 1. International Agency for Research on Cancer. *Some halogenated hydrocarbons*. Lyon, 1979:129–154 (IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of the Carcinogenic Risk of Chemicals to Humans, Volume 20).
- 2. Deichmann WB. Halogenated cyclic hydrocarbons. In: Clayton GD, Clayton FE, eds. *Patty's industrial hygiene and toxicity*. Vol. 2B, 3rd rev. ed. New York, NY, John Wiley, 1981:3603–3669.
- 3. Rao PSC, Davidson JM. Retention and transformation of selected pesticides and phosphorus in soil-water systems: a critical review. Athens, GA, US Environmental Protection Agency, 1982 (EPA-600/53-82-060).
- 4. Mackay D. Correlation of bioconcentration factors. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 1982, 16:274–278.
- 5. Worthing CR, ed. *The pesticide manual*, 9th ed. Farnham, British Crop Protection Council, 1991.
- 6. Mabey WR et al. *Aquatic fate process data for organic priority pollutants*. Washington, DC, US Environmental Protection Agency, Monitoring Data Support Division, 1981 (EPA 440/4-81-014).
- 7. Geyer H et al. Prediction of ecotoxicological behaviour of chemicals: relationship between physicochemical properties and bioaccumulation of organic chemicals in the mussel *Mytilus edulis*. *Chemosphere*, 1982, 11:1121–1134.
- 8. Callahan MA et al. *Water-related environmental fate of 129 priority pollutants*. Vol. II. Washington, DC, US Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water Planning and Standards, Office of Water Waste Management, 1979 (EPA 440/4-79-029b).

- 9. Mill T et al. Laboratory protocols for evaluating the fate of organic chemicals in air and water. Athens, GA, US Environmental Protection Agency, Environmental Research Laboratory, 1982 (EPA-600/3-82-022a).
- 10. Tzapko VV, Rogovsky GF, Kurinov VN. [On the possibility of hexachlordane and heptachlor penetrating into subsoil water.] In: [Hygiene of settlements.] Kiev, Zdorovie Publishers, 1967:93–95 (in Russian).
- 11. Vročinsky KK, Gemetčenko MM, Merežko AI. [Hydrobiological migration of pesticides.] Moscow, Moscow University Press, 1980:8–14, 33–37, 59–63, 87–94, 119–120 (in Russian).
- 12. US Environmental Protection Agency. Heptachlor and heptachlor epoxide. *Reviews of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, 1988, 104:131–145.
- 13. Huang JC. Fate of organic pesticides in the aquatic system. *Engineering Bulletin of Purdue University, Engineering Extension Series*, 1970:449–457.
- 14. US Environmental Protection Agency. Method for organochlorine pesticides in drinking water. In: *Methods for organochlorine pesticides and chlorophenoxy acid herbicides in drinking water and raw source water. Interim report July 1978.* Cincinnati, OH, US Environmental Protection Agency, Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory, 1978.
- 15. Stanley CW et al. Measurement of atmospheric levels of pesticides. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 1971, 5:430–435.
- 16. Arthur RD, Cain JD, Barrentine BF. The effect of atmospheric levels of pesticides on pesticide residues in rabbit adipose tissue and blood sera. *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, 1975, 14:760–764.
- 17. Williams DT et al. Organochlorine pesticide levels in Ottawa drinking water, 1976. *Pesticides Monitoring Journal*, 1978, 12:163.
- 18. Sandhu SS, Warren WJ, Nelson P. Pesticidal residue in rural potable water. *Journal of the American Water Works Association*, 1978, 70:41–45.
- 19. Shackleford WM, Keith LH. *Frequency of organic compounds identified in water*. Athens, GA, US Environmental Protection Agency, 1976 (EPA-600/4-76-062).
- 20. Eurocop-Cost. A comprehensive list of polluting substances which have been identified in various fresh waters, effluent discharges, aquatic animals and plants, and bottom sediments. Luxembourg, Commission of the European Communities, 1976.
- 21. Johnson RD, Manske DD. Pesticide and other chemical residues in total diet samples (XI). *Pesticides Monitoring Journal*, 1977, 11:116–131.

- 22. Henderson C, Johnson WL, Inglis A. Organochlorine insecticide residues in fish. *Pesticides Monitoring Journal*, 1969, 3:145–171.
- 23. Savage EP. *National study to determine levels of chlorinated hydrocarbon insecticides in human milk.* Washington, DC, US Environmental Protection Agency, 1976 (EPA-540/9-78-005).
- 24. Gunderson EL. FDA total diet study, April 1982–April 1984, dietary intakes of pesticides, selected elements and other chemicals. *Journal of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists*, 1988, 71:1200–1209.
- 25. Mizyukova IG, Kurčatov GV. [Metabolism of heptachlor.] *Farmakologija i Toksikologija*, 1970, 4:496–499 (in Russian).
- 26. Radomski JL, Davidow B. The metabolite of heptachlor, its estimation, storage and toxicity. *Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics*, 1953, 107:266–272.
- 27. Tashiro S, Matsumura F. Metabolism of *trans*-nonachlor and related chlordane components in rat and man. *Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, 1978, 7:113–127.
- 28. International Programme on Chemical Safety. *Heptachlor*. Geneva, World Health Organization, 1984 (Environmental Health Criteria 38).
- 29. Krampl V. Relationship between serum enzymes and histological changes in liver after administration of heptachlor in the rat. *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, 1971, 5:529–536.
- 30. Enan EE, El-Sebae AH, Enan OH. Effects of some chlorinated hydrocarbon insecticides on liver function in white rats. *Mededelingen van de Faculteit Landbouwwetenschappen*, *Rijksuniversiteit Gent*, 1982, 47:447–457.
- 31. Witherup S, Cleveland FP, Stemmer K. *The physiological effects of the introduction of heptachlor epoxide in varying levels of concentration into the diet of CFN rats*. Cincinnati, OH, University of Cincinnati, Kettering Laboratory, 1959 (unpublished report submitted to WHO by Velsicol Chemical Corp., Rosemont, IL, USA).
- 32. International Programme on Chemical Safety. *Pesticide residues in food* 1991. *Evaluations* 1991. *Part II. Toxicology*. Geneva, World Health Organization, Joint FAO/WHO Meeting on Pesticide Residues, 1992 (unpublished document WHO/PCS/92.52).
- 33. Mestitzova M. On reproduction studies on the occurrence of cataracts in rats after long-term feeding of the insecticide heptachlor. *Experientia*, 1967, 23:42–43.

- 34. Shain SA, Shaeffer JC, Boesel RW. The effect of chronic ingestion of selected pesticides upon rat ventral prostate homeostasis. *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology*, 1977, 40:115–130.
- 35. Welch RM et al. Effects of halogenated hydrocarbon insecticides on the metabolism and uterotropic action of estrogen in rats and mice. *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology*, 1971, 19:234–246.
- 36. International Agency for Research on Cancer. *Overall evaluations of carcinogenicity: an updating of IARC Monographs volumes 1–42*. Lyon, 1987:146–148 (IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans, Suppl. 7).
- 37. Dadey JL, Kammer AG. Chlordane intoxication. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 1953, 153:723.
- 38. Derbes VJ et al. Fatal chlordane poisoning. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 1955, 158:1367–1369.
- 39. Blair A et al. Lung cancer and other causes of death among licensed pesticide applicators. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*, 1983, 71:31–37.
- 40. Wang HH, MacMahon B. Mortality of workers employed in the manufacture of chlordane and heptachlor. *Journal of Occupational Medicine*, 1979, 21:745–748.
- 41. Wang HH, MacMahon B. Mortality of pesticide applicators. *Journal of Occupational Medicine*, 1979, 21:741–744.
- 42. Ditraglia D et al. Mortality study of workers employed at organochlorine pesticide manufacturing plants. *Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment and Health*, 1981, 7:140–146.
- 43. International Agency for Research on Cancer. *Some thyrotropic agents*. Lyon, 2001:411–492 (IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans. Lyon, Volume 79).
- 44. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations/World Health Organization. *Pesticide residues in food* 1994. Report of the Joint Meeting of the FAO Panel of Experts on Pesticide Residues in Food and the Environment and WHO Toxicological and Environmental Core Assessment Groups, 1995 (FAO Plant Production and Protection Paper 127).