

### MEMBER STATE QUESTIONNAIRE: Global Strategy and Plan of Action on Public Health, Innovation and Intellectual Property

#### **PURPOSE**

- To gather baseline information from Member States to monitor progress on implementing decision <u>WHA71(9)</u>. Some additional questions are
  included to collect useful information for the Secretariat to develop an implementation plan as requested in this decision and for the
  implementation of other related resolutions, such as <u>WHA72.8</u>.
- The responses to this questionnaire will be compiled in aggregate form and analysed by the Secretariat for inclusion in the 2020 report on progress and will complement the report on progress made by the WHO Secretariat in implementing the decision, notably the recommendations addressed to the Secretariat. Country names will not be attributed to the information provided. Country responses will also be used to guide follow-up actions and provision of assistance and support.

### **BACKGROUND**

- To foster innovation and improve access for people in developing countries, the World Health Assembly (WHA) adopted resolution <u>WHA61.21</u>
   and resolution <u>WHA62.16</u>, on a Global Strategy and Plan of Action on Public Health, Innovation and Intellectual Property (<u>GSPA</u>).
- WHA62.16 requested the Director-General "to conduct an overall programme review of the global strategy and plan of action in 2014 on its achievements, remaining challenges and recommendations on the way forward to the Health Assembly in 2015 through the Executive Board." In WHA68.18, the WHA decided "to extend the time frames of the plan of action on public health, innovation and intellectual property from 2015 until 2022." WHA68.18 also requested the Director-General "to present the terms of reference of the overall programme review for approval by the Executive Board at its 140<sup>th</sup> session in January 2017, and to present the composition of the overall programme review panel for consideration by the bureau of the Executive Board in February 2017."
- Eighteen experts were selected from a roster of names proposed by Member States and all WHO Regional Directors and a consolidated report with forward looking recommendations (document A71/13) was presented at the 71<sup>st</sup> WHA in May 2018, through the 142<sup>nd</sup> Executive Board session. In their report, the panel considered that the eight elements of the GSPA remain broadly valid, noting that the main problem is the lack of impact in its implementation. The report, therefore, prioritized 33 actions directed to the WHO Secretariat and/or Member States, rather than the multiplicity of relevant stakeholders noting that, although the contribution of stakeholders is integral to the success of the GSPA-PHI, it is the role of the WHO Secretariat and Member States to encourage their appropriate involvement. The prioritized address current needs in research and development and access to medicines that are feasible, practical and, as far as possible, can be monitored, along with a governance mechanism for the GSPA, with a focus on implementation and monitoring.
- At its Seventy-first session in May 2018, the WHA approved decision <u>WHA71(9)</u>, which urged "Member States to implement, as appropriate and taking into account national contexts, the recommendations of the review panel that are addressed to Member States and consistent with the global strategy and plan of action on public health, innovation and intellectual property." Likewise, the decision requested "the Director-General to implement the recommendations addressed to the Secretariat as prioritized by the review panel, in an implementation plan, consistent with the global strategy and plan of action on public health, innovation and intellectual property" as well as to "submit a report on progress made in implementing this decision to the Seventy-third WHA in 2020, through the Executive Board at its 146<sup>th</sup> session."
- Recommendation 32 of the review panel (see document <u>A71/13</u>) requires "the WHO Secretariat to draw up a detailed implementation plan and establish a mechanism to support implementation and monitoring of the global strategy and plan of action."

### ABOUT THE QUESTIONNAIRE The questionnaire is organized in the following way:

- · Section A on Member State information
- Section B on statement of policy on data sharing
- Section C on GSPA elements
  - Prioritize research and development needs
  - Promote research and development
  - . Build and improve research capacity
  - Promote transfer of technology
  - . Manage intellectual property to contribute to innovation and public health
  - Improve delivery and access
  - Promote sustainable financing mechanisms
  - Establish a monitoring and accountability mechanism
- Section D on other aspects

If you wish to submit any additional information to the Secretariat to be considered for inclusion in the 2020 report on progress, you will have an opportunity to upload documents at the end of the questionnaire. Any sensitive, confidential or unpublished documents should be emailed to <a href="mailto:gspoa@who.int">gspoa@who.int</a>. We thank you in advance for taking the time to complete this questionnaire.

### SECTION A. GENERAL INFORMATION

To ensure the most accurate data, WHO recommends that the mission focal point coordinate the collection and reporting of your government's intersectoral (from all relevant government authorities relating to public health, innovation, and intellectual property) response to the questionnaire.

* 1 Your country name:			
Choose one of the following answers			
Please choose ▼			
* 2 Mission focal point name (i.e. surname, given name):			
* 2 Mission fosal point title (e.g. Health Attaché):			
* 3 Mission focal point title (e.g. Health Attaché):			
4 Mission focal point contact information (i.e. email address; phone number):			
←Previous Next→			

#### SECTION B. STATEMENT OF POLICY ON DATA SHARING

Data are the basis for all sound public health actions and the benefits of data sharing are widely recognized, including scientific and public health benefits. Whenever possible, WHO wishes to promote the sharing of health data, including but not restricted to surveillance and epidemiological data. In this connection, and without prejudice to information sharing and publication pursuant to legally binding instruments, by providing data to WHO, [MISSION FOCAL POINT NAME] of [YOUR COUNTRY NAME]:

- Confirms that all data to be supplied to WHO (including but not limited to the types listed in Table 1)
  hereunder have been collected in accordance with applicable national laws, including data protection
  laws aimed at protecting the confidentiality of identifiable persons;
- Agrees that WHO shall be entitled, subject always to measures to ensure the ethical and secure use of the data, and subject always to an appropriate acknowledgement of [YOUR COUNTRY NAME]:
  - to publish the data, stripped of any personal identifiers (such data without personal
    identifiers being hereinafter referred to as "the Data") and make the Data available to any
    interested party on request (to the extent they have not, or not yet, been published by WHO)
    on terms that allow non-commercial, not-for-profit use of the Data for public health purposes
    (provided always that publication of the Data shall remain under the control of WHO);
  - to use, compile, aggregate, evaluate and analyse the Data and publish and disseminate the
    results thereof in conjunction with WHO's work and in accordance with the Organization's
    policies and practices.
- Except where data sharing and publication is required under legally binding instruments (IHR, WHO Nomenclature Regulations 1967, etc.), the [MISSION FOCAL POINT NAME] of [YOUR COUNTRY NAME] may in respect of certain data opt out of (any part of) the above, by notifying WHO thereof in writing at the following email address, provided that any such notification shall clearly identify the data in question and clearly indicate the scope of the opt-out (in reference to the above), and provided that specific reasons shall be given for the opt out.

Except where data sharing and publication is required under legally binding instruments (IHR, WHO Nomenclature Regulations 1967, etc.), of [YOUR COUNTRY NAME] may in respect of certain data opt out of (any part of) the above, by notifying WHO thereof in writing at the following address, provided that any such notification shall clearly identify the data in question and clearly indicate the scope of the opt-out (in reference to the above), and provided that specific reasons shall be given for the opt out.

Director Strategy, Policy and information (SPI)
World Health Organization
20, avenue Appia
1211 Geneva Switzerland

* 5 Do you agree to the 'statement of policy on data sharing' as outlined above?
○ Yes
○ No
* 6 You have indicated that your country does not accept the statement of policy on data sharing. If you wish to proceed with the questionnaire but do not wish to accept the statement of policy on data sharing, please contact the Secretariat at gspoa@who.int.  Otherwise, please indicate below why you do not accept the statement of policy on data sharing.

### SECTION C. GSPA ELEMENTS: Promote research and development

Check any that apply

7 In the past two years, has your country promoted programmes for collaboration with (and provision of support to) developing

countries to strengthen clinical trial capacity and expert networks regionally and, where relevant, nationally?

No promotion within the past two years
Developed a platform for exchange of ideas and cross-fertilization
Provided seed funding or other financial incentives to stimulate collaboration
Provided material resources
Provided human resources
Expert network participation
Other:
This question corresponds to recommendation 6 of the actions prioritized by the expert panel for the overall programme review and <u>WHA72.8</u> .
Recommendation 6: Member States to promote programmes for collaboration with (and provision of support to) developing countries to strengthen clinical trial capacity and expert networks regionally and, where relevant, nationally. (Indicator: Report on mapping of programmes for strengthening clinical trial capacity and expert networks regionally and nationally by 2021.)
8 In the past two years, has your country encouraged funders of research and development to make all resulting publications open access immediately or, at the most, within six months after publication?
Check any that apply
No encouragement within the past two years
Require open-access mandate as a contractual condition for grant recipients in public or public-private collaborations
Created new initiatives to facilitate open access
Provided incentives to facilitate open access
Other:
This question corresponds to recommendation 7 of the actions prioritized by the expert panel for the overall programme review.
Recommendation 7: Member States and the WHO Secretariat to encourage funders of research and development to make all resulting publications open access immediately or, at the most, within six months after publication. (Indicator: Report by 2022 on new initiatives by funders of research and development to ensure that the resulting publications in peer-reviewed journals are open access.)

9 You have indicated that your country has created new initiatives to facilitate open access; therefore, please describe the initiative, provide relevant links, and upload any related information.
10 You can upload png, gif, doc, odt, pdf under 10240 KB each.
Please upload at most one file
<u>Upload files</u>
←Previous Next→ SECTION C. GSPA ELEMENTS: Build and improve research capacity
11 In the past two years, has your country developed and/or supported collaboration programmes between internationally recognized centres for research and development and relevant institutions in developing countries to enable those countries to enhance their capacity across the research and development pipeline?
○ Yes
○ No
No answer
This question corresponds to recommendation 8 of the actions prioritized by the expert panel for the overall programme review.
Recommendation 8: The WHO Secretariat and Member States to develop and support collaboration programmes between internationally recognized centres for research and development and relevant institutions in developing countries to enable those countries to enhance their capacity across the research and development pipeline. (Indicator: Report on new collaboration programmes developed and supported by 2021.)
12 You have indicated that, in the past two years, your country developed and/or supported collaboration programmes between internationally recognized centres for research and development and relevant institutions in developing countries to enable those countries to enhance their capacity across the research and development pipeline; therefore, check any that apply.
Check any that apply
Developed and supported a new collaboration programme (North:South collaboration)
Developed and supported a new collaboration programme (South:South collaboration)
Supported an existing collaboration programme (North:South collaboration)
Supported an existing collaboration programme (South:South collaboration)

13 Please describe the new North-South collaboration programme(s) which your country developed and supported in the past two years.

	Please describe the new South-South collaboration programme(s) which your country developed and supported in the past two ars.
	Are certified quality training courses on research and development, including online courses, available for personnel involved in earch and development in your country?
	Yes
•	NV diswei
0	This question corresponds to recommendation 11 of the actions prioritized by the expert panel for the overall programme review.
	Recommendation 11: Member States to promote the availability of training courses of certified quality, including online courses, for personnel involved in research and development. (Indicator: Monitoring the availability of certified quality training courses on research and development.)

16 You have indicated that certified quality training courses on research and development are available for personnel involved in research and development in your country; therefore, please provide a bulleted list of available, certified quality training courses on research and development, including links to online courses where available.
17 In the past two years, to what extent has your country promoted the availability of training courses of certified quality, including online courses, for personnel involved in research and development?
Choose one of the following answers
O Not at all
To some extent
To a fair extent
To a great extent
No answer
This question corresponds to recommendation 11 of the actions prioritized by the expert panel for the overall programme review.
Recommendation 11: Member States to promote the availability of training courses of certified quality, including online courses, for personnel involved in research and development. (Indicator: Monitoring the availability of certified quality training courses on research and development.)

18 You have indicated that your country, in the past two years, has promoted the availability of training courses of certified quality, including online courses, for personnel involved in research and development; therefore, please describe how your country has promoted the availability of the training courses.  19 Does your country have any national programmes or participate in any regional programmes for developing strategies and strengthening capacity in research and development for traditional medicine by 2022?  Check any that apply  Not at all
strengthening capacity in research and development for traditional medicine by 2022?  Check any that apply  Not at all
strengthening capacity in research and development for traditional medicine by 2022?  Check any that apply  Not at all
strengthening capacity in research and development for traditional medicine by 2022?  Check any that apply  Not at all
□ Not at all
Yes, a <u>national</u> programme is currently being developed
Yes, a <u>national</u> programme is fully functional
Yes, <u>regional</u> programme participation
This question corresponds to recommendation 12 of the actions prioritized by the expert panel for the overall programme review.
Recommendation 12: Member States, with the support of the WHO Secretariat, to develop strategies and strengthen their capacity for policy formulation, regulation, research methodology and ethics, and resource preservation in traditional medicine in line with the WHO traditional medicine strategy: 2014–2023. (Indicator: Report on national and regional programmes for developing strategies and strengthening capacity in research and development for traditional medicine by 2022.)

20 You have indicated that a <u>national</u> programme is fully functional; therefore, in the past two years, to what extent has your <u>national</u> programme:

	Not at all	To some extent	To a fair extent	To a great extent	No answer
Developed strategies for policy formulation in traditional medicine in line with the WHO traditional medicine strategy: 2014–2023					•
Developed strategies for regulation in traditional medicine in line with the WHO traditional medicine strategy: 2014–2023					•
Developed strategies for research methodology and ethics in traditional medicine in line with the WHO traditional medicine strategy: 2014–2023					•
Developed strategies for resource preservation in traditional medicine in line with the WHO traditional medicine strategy: 2014–2023					•
Strengthened capacity for policy formulation in traditional medicine in line with the WHO traditional medicine strategy: 2014–2023		•	•	•	•
Strengthened capacity for regulation in traditional medicine in line with the WHO traditional medicine strategy: 2014–2023			•		•

	Not at all	To some extent	To a fair extent	To a great extent	No answer
Strengthened capacity for research methodology and ethics in traditional medicine in line with the WHO traditional medicine strategy: 2014–2023					•
Strengthened capacity for resource preservation in traditional medicine in line with the WHO traditional medicine strategy: 2014–2023					•

This question corresponds to recommendation 12 of the actions prioritized by the expert panel for the overall programme review.

Recommendation 12: Member States, with the support of the WHO Secretariat, to develop strategies and strengthen their capacity for policy formulation, regulation, research methodology and ethics, and resource preservation in traditional medicine in line with the WHO traditional medicine strategy: 2014–2023. (Indicator: Report on national and regional programmes for developing strategies and strengthening capacity in research and development for traditional medicine by 2022.)

← Previous

Next→

### SECTION C. GSPA ELEMENTS: Promote transfer of technology

21	Does your country have a national technology transfer programme(s) related to local health technology production?
0	Yes
0	No
•	No answer
•	This question corresponds to recommendation 15 of the actions prioritized by the expert panel for the overall programme review.
	Recommendation 15: The WHO Secretariat to identify new opportunities for collaboration with other United Nations organizations (e.g. UNIDO, UNCTAD) to promote technology transfer as part of local health technology production programmes in developing countries in line with country needs. (Indicator: Inter-organizational report on national technology transfer programmes developed and disseminated by 2022.)
pro	You have indicated that your country has a national technology transfer programme(s) related to local health technology duction; therefore, please provide additional information on your national technology transfer programme(s), including any evant links.
	//

← Previous

Next→

# SECTION C. GSPA ELEMENTS: Manage intellectual property to contribute to innovation and public health

23 Does your national and/or regional intellectual property legislation fully reflect the flexibilities provided in the <u>TRIPS Agreement</u> including those recognized in the <u>Doha Declaration on the TRIPS Agreement and Public Health</u> and in Articles 27, 30 (including the research exception ("Bolar" provision)), 31 and 31 <i>bis</i> of the TRIPS Agreement?						
Check any that apply						
Article 6 (Exhaustion) of the TRIPS Agreement						
Articles 7 (Objectives) and 8 (Principles) of the TRIPS Agreement						
Article 27 (Patentable Subject Matter) of the TRIPS Agreement						
Article 30 (Exceptions to Rights Conferred) of the TRIPS Agreement						
Article 31 (Other Use Without Authorization of the Right Holder) of the TRIPS Agreement						
Article 31 bis (Special Compulsory Licensing System) of the TRIPS Agreement						
Article 66.1 (Least-Developed Country Members) of the TRIPS Agreement						
Other relevant provisions related to public health safeguards						
This question corresponds to recommendation 16 of the actions prioritized by the expert panel for the overall programme review.						
Recommendation 16: The WHO Secretariat, in collaboration with other international organizations working in intellectual property, to advocate for the development of national legislation to fully reflect the flexibilities provided in the TRIPS Agreement, including those recognized in the Doha Declaration on the TRIPS Agreement and Public Health and in Articles 27, 30 (including the research exception and "Bolar" provision), 31 and 31bis of the TRIPS Agreement. (Indicator: Interorganizational report on national legislation and patenting guidelines that include the flexibilities provided in the TRIPS Agreement prepared by 2021.)						
24 You have indicated that your national and/or regional intellectual property legislation reflects Article 6 (Exhaustion) of the TRIF Agreement. Does the legislation provide <u>national</u> , <u>regional</u> , or <u>international</u> exhaustion? Please upload the relevant provisions from your legislation below.						
Choose one of the following answers						
National exhaustion						
Regional exhaustion						
International exhaustion						
No answer						
25 You can upload png, gif, doc, odt, pdf under 10240 KB each.  Please upload at most one file						
Upload files						

26 You have indicated that your national and/or regional intellectual property legislation reflects Articles 7 (Objectives) and 8 (Principles) of the TRIPS Agreement. How does your national and/or regional intellectual property legislation include this Article? Please upload the relevant provisions from your legislation below.		
27 You can upload png, gif, doc, odt, pdf under 10240 KB each.		
Please upload at most one file  Upload files		
28 You have indicated that your national and/or regional intellectual property legislation reflects Article 27 (Patentable Subject Matter) of the TRIPS Agreement. How does your country implement this Article? In particular, how does your legislation implement 27.3 (a) and (b) of the TRIPS Agreement? Please upload the relevant provisions from your legislation below.		
29 You can upload png, gif, doc, odt, pdf under 10240 KB each.		
Please upload at most one file		
<u>Upload files</u>		
30 You have indicated that your national and/or regional intellectual property legislation reflects Article 30 (Exceptions to Rights Conferred) of the TRIPS Agreement. How does your country implement this Article, including the research exception ("Bolar" provision)? Please upload the relevant provisions from your legislation below.		
31 You can upload png, gif, doc, odt, pdf under 10240 KB each.		
Please upload at most one file		
<u>Upload files</u>		

32 You have indicated that your national and/or regional intellectual property legislation reflects Article 31 (Other Use Without Authorization of the Right Holder) of the TRIPS Agreement. What are the grounds upon which your country grants compulsory licences and public non-commercial use licenses (government use licences)? Please upload the relevant provisions from your legislation below.		
How does your country determine what constitutes a national emergency or other circumstances of extreme urgency? Please upload the relevant provisions from you legislation below.		
33 You can upload png, gif, doc, odt, pdf under 10240 KB each.		
Please upload at most one file		
<u>Upload files</u>		
34 You have indicated that your national and/or regional intellectual property legislation reflects Article 31bis (Special Compulsory Licensing System) of the TRIPS Agreement. How does your national and/or regional intellectual property legislation implement this Article? Please upload the relevant provisions from your legislation below.		
35 You can upload png, gif, doc, odt, pdf under 10240 KB each.		
Please upload at most one file		
<u>Upload files</u>		
36 If your country is a Least-Developed Country Member of WTO and your national and/or regional intellectual property legislation reflects <a href="Article 66.1">Article 66.1</a> (Least-Developed Country Members), taking into account the extension for LDCs until January 2023) of the TRIPS Agreement, how does your country implement the transition period? Please upload the relevant provisions from your legislation below.		
37 You can upload png, gif, doc, odt, pdf under 10240 KB each.		
Please upload at most one file		
<u>Upload files</u>		

38 You have indicated that your national and/or regional intellectual property legislation reflects other relevant provisions related to public health safeguards; therefore, please upload the relevant provisions from your legislation below. You can upload png, gif, doc, odt, pdf under 10240 KB each.			
Please upload at most one file			
<u>Upload files</u>			
39 Does your national and/or regional patent office use patenting guidelines for the examination of pharmaceutical products?			
Check any that apply			
No, national patent office does not use patenting guidelines for the examination of pharmaceutical products			
No, regional patent office does not use patenting guidelines for the examination of pharmaceutical products			
Yes, <u>national</u> patent office <u>uses</u> patenting guidelines for the examination of pharmaceutical products			
Yes, regional patent office uses patenting guidelines for the examination of pharmaceutical products			
This question corresponds to recommendation 16 of the actions prioritized by the expert panel for the overall programme review.			
Recommendation 16: The WHO Secretariat, in collaboration with other international organizations working in intellectual property, to advocate for the development of national legislation to fully reflect the flexibilities provided in the TRIPS Agreement, including those recognized in the Doha Declaration on the TRIPS Agreement and Public Health and in Articles 27, 30 (including the research exception and "Bolar" provision), 31 and 31bis of the TRIPS Agreement. (Indicator: Interorganizational report on national legislation and patenting guidelines that include the flexibilities provided in the TRIPS Agreement prepared by 2021.)			
40 You have indicated that your <u>national</u> patent office <u>uses</u> patenting guidelines for the examination of pharmaceutical products. Therefore, please provide a link to or upload below a copy of the patenting guidelines used by the <u>national</u> patent office for the examination of pharmaceutical products.			
41 You can upload png, gif, doc, odt, pdf under 10240 KB each.			
Please upload at most one file			
<u>Upload files</u>			

42 You have indicated that your <u>regional</u> patent office <u>uses</u> patenting guidelines for the examination of pharmaceutical products. Therefore, please provide a link to or upload below a copy of the patenting guidelines used by the <u>regional</u> patent office for the examination of pharmaceutical products.		
43 You can upload png, gif, doc, odt, pdf under 10240 KB each.		
Please upload at most one file		
<u>Upload files</u>		
44 Action 5.1 (c) of the GSPA recommends that stakeholders "facilitate widespread access to, and promote further development of, including, if necessary, compiling, maintaining and updating, user-friendly global databases that contain public information on the administrative status of health-related patents, including supporting the existing efforts for determining the patent status of health products, in order to strengthen national capacities for analysis of the information contained in those databases, and improve the quality of patents."		
Do your national procurement agencies know about and/or use existing databases like MedsPaL or any other user-friendly global database that contains public information on the administrative status of health-related patents and licences to facilitate procurement of medicines and health products?		
Check any that apply		
No, do not know about or use MedsPaL		
Yes, know about but do not use MedsPaL		
Yes, know about and use MedsPaL		
Other:		
This question corresponds to recommendation 17 of the actions prioritized by the expert panel for the overall programn review and <u>WHA72.8</u> .	ie	
Recommendation 17: The WHO Secretariat, in collaboration with partners, to promote the further development of databases of patents and non-confidential licence agreements for health products and facilitate greater access to such databases. (Indicator: Monitor coverage and use of existing and new databases of patent and licence information.)		

45 Has your national and/or regional patent office signed or considered signing a collaborative agreement with the Medicines Patent Pool to provide regular updates to patent data for key health products to MedsPaL?		
Check any that apply		
	No, neither <u>national nor regional patent office</u> , where applicable, have signed or have considered signing a collaborative agreement with the Medicines Patent Pool	
	Yes, <u>national</u> patent office has signed or has considered signing a collaborative agreement with the Medicines Patent Pool	
	Yes, <u>regional</u> patent office has signed or has considered signing a collaborative agreement with the Medicines Patent Pool	
	Other:	
	Does your national and/or regional patent office or other government agencies have publicly-available health-related patent licensing status information databases online?	
Ch	eck any that apply	
	Granted patents	
	Published patent applications	
	Published patent application legal status	
	Patents granted and/or rejected under appeal	
	Patent licenses	
	Patent term extensions or supplementary protection certificates, where applicable	
	Test data exclusivity protection database, where applicable	
	Other:	
•	This question corresponds to recommendation 17 of the actions prioritized by the expert panel for the overall programme review and WHA72.8.	
	Recommendation 17: The WHO Secretariat, in collaboration with partners, to promote the further development of databases of patents and non-confidential licence agreements for health products and facilitate greater access to such databases. (Indicator: Monitor coverage and use of existing and new databases of patent and licence information.)	

47 You have indicated that your national and/or regional patent office or other government agencies have publicly-available health-related patent and licensing status information databases online; therefore, please provide any related links below.		
48 In the past two years, how has your country, when negotiating trade agreements, taken into account the impact on public health of adopting provisions that go beyond the standards of the <a href="https://example.com/representation-negotiating">TRIPS Agreement</a> ?		
Check any that apply		
No consideration of the impact on public health when negotiating trade agreements		
<ul> <li>Use of health impact assessment tools or other independent and comprehensive measures to assess public health impact</li> </ul>		
Participation of national public health actors in trade agreement negotiations		
Promoting access to negotiating texts and meaningful opportunities for health negotiators' engagement and feedback		
Other:		
This question corresponds to recommendation 19 of the actions prioritized by the expert panel for the overall programme review.		
Recommendation 19: Member States, when negotiating trade agreements, to take into account the impact on public health of adopting provisions that go beyond the requirements of the TRIPS Agreement. (Indicator: Assessment by 2022 of evidence that negotiators of new trade agreements have taken account of the public health impact of the adoption of such agreements.)		

← Previous

Next→

# SECTION C. GSPA ELEMENTS: Improve delivery and access

49 Has your country developed or used good practices on evidence-based selection and health technology assessment for health products for national use to support creation or updating of national lists of essential health products for reimbursement, insurance and/or procurement purposes?		
Check any that apply		
No, neither developed nor used good practices on evidence-based selection and health technology assessment for health products for national use		
Yes, <u>developed</u> good practices on evidence-based selection and health technology assessment for health products for national use		
Yes, <u>used</u> good practices on evidence-based selection and health technology assessment for health products for national use		
This question corresponds to recommendation 20 of the actions prioritized by the expert panel for the overall programme review.		
Recommendation 20: The WHO Secretariat to develop and share good practices on evidence-based selection and health technology assessment for health products for national use, and support bilateral and regional collaboration between countries. (Indicator: Good practices on evidence-based selection and health technology assessment developed and disseminated by 2019. Report on bilateral and regional collaboration programmes prepared by WHO by 2022.)		
50 If yes, please describe and upload below any good practices that your country has <u>developed</u> on evidence-based selection and health technology assessment for health products for national use.		
51 You can upload png, gif, doc, odt, pdf under 10240 KB each.		
Please upload at most one file		
<u>Upload files</u>		
52 If yes, please describe and upload below any good practices that your country has <u>used</u> on evidence-based selection and health technology assessment for health products for national use.		
53 You can upload png, gif, doc, odt, pdf under 10240 KB each.		
Please upload at most one file		
<u>Upload files</u>		

54 Does your country participate in any bilateral and/or regional collaboration programme(s) on evidence-based selection and/or health technology assessment for health products for national use?			
Check any that apply			
No participation in bilateral and/or regional collaboration programme(s)			
Yes, participation in <u>bilateral</u> collaboration programme(s)			
Yes, participation in <u>regional</u> collaboration programme(s)			
This question corresponds to recommendation 20 of the actions prioritized by the expert panel for the overall programme review.			
Recommendation 20: The WHO Secretariat to develop and share good practices on evidence-based selection and health technology assessment for health products for national use, and support bilateral and regional collaboration between countries. (Indicator: Good practices on evidence-based selection and health technology assessment developed and disseminated by 2019. Report on bilateral and regional collaboration programmes prepared by WHO by 2022.)			

55 If yes, please describe the <u>bilateral</u> collaboration programme(s) in which your country participates, provide any relevant links, and upload related information below.	
56	You can upload png, gif, doc, odt, pdf under 10240 KB each.
	Please upload at most one file
<u>Up</u>	load files
	If yes, please describe the <u>regional</u> collaboration programme(s) in which your country participates, provide any relevant links, d upload below any related information.
-	
	You can upload png, gif, doc, odt, pdf under 10240 KB each.  Pase upload at most one file
	load files
59	Does your country present price information of pharmaceutical products in the public domain as part of a regular procedure?
	Yes
	No
•	No answer
<b>②</b>	This question corresponds to recommendation 21 of the actions prioritized by the expert panel for the overall programme review and <u>WHA72.8</u> .
	Recommendation 21: The WHO Secretariat to provide guidance to Member States on promoting and monitoring transparency in medicine prices and on implementation of pricing and reimbursement policies. (Indicator: Guidance developed and disseminated in countries by 2020.)

60 If yes, what type of price information is presented?		
Check any that apply		
Prices at which manufacturers sell the product		
Prices at which wholesalers or distributors sell the product		
Prices at which pharmacies or retailers sell the product		
Prices at which government authorities procure the product		
Prices at which government authorities set as the benchmarks		
Prices at which government authorities or insurers reimburse the providers or consumers		
Other:		
61 You have indicated that price information of pharmaceutical products is presented in the public domain as part of a regular procedure; therefore, please provide any related links below regarding what type of price information is presented.		

	What potential factors might result in a difference between the duct? (please include relevant links in comment box)	published price and the actual market price for a particular
Ch	pose one of the following answers	
	None because published prices are the actual prices	Please enter your comment here:
0	Confidential rebates and discounts to governments	
0	Confidential rebates and discounts to service providers or intermediaries	
0	Non-confidential rebates and discounts to governments	
0	Non-confidential rebates and discounts to service providers or intermediaries	
0	Published prices are maximum regulated prices; actual market prices are lower but varied	
0	Published prices are not updated in a timely manner to reflect actual prices	
0	Other or comments, please describe	
•	No answer	
•	This question corresponds to recommendation 21 of the action review and WHA72.8.	ns prioritized by the expert panel for the overall programme
	Recommendation 21: The WHO Secretariat to provide guidar transparency in medicine prices and on implementation of pri developed and disseminated in countries by 2020.)	

63 Please select the responses that best describe the collection and disclosure of price information of pharmaceutical products in your country.				
Cho	Choose one of the following answers			
0	Price information is not collected as part of a regular procedure and known only to individual sellers (e.g. manufacturers, service providers) and payers/buyers (e.g. governments, service providers, and consumers)			
0	Price information is collected as part of a regular procedure and shared confidentially only with relevant authorities within the country			
	Price information is collected as part of a regular procedure and shared only upon request confidentially with authorities or interested parties that are deemed appropriate			
	Price information is infrequently collected only for specific purposes and circumstances (e.g. government audits)			
	Other:			
•	No answer			
_	This question corresponds to recommendation 21 of the actions prioritized by the expert panel for the overall programme review and <u>WHA72.8</u> .			
	Recommendation 21: The WHO Secretariat to provide guidance to Member States on promoting and monitoring transparency in medicine prices and on implementation of pricing and reimbursement policies. (Indicator: Guidance developed and disseminated in countries by 2020.)			

64 In general, what are the overall effects of disclosure or sharing of price information on subsequent patient access to medicines in your country?
Check any that apply
Not applicable because price information is not collected or shared
Not sure because an assessment has not been conducted
Assessment has been conducted but the findings were inconclusive
Assessment found that information disclosure or sharing has resulted in lower prices
Assessment found that information disclosure or sharing has resulted in higher prices
Assessment found that information disclosure or sharing has resulted in better governance
Assessment found that information disclosure or sharing has resulted in less efficient processes
Assessment found that information disclosure or sharing has resulted in more efficient processes
Other:
This question corresponds to recommendation 21 of the actions prioritized by the expert panel for the overall programme review and WHA72.8.  Recommendation 21: The WHO Secretariat to provide guidance to Member States on promoting and monitoring transparency in medicine prices and on implementation of pricing and reimbursement policies. (Indicator: Guidance developed and disseminated in countries by 2020.)
65 How has your country monitored patient out-of-pocket expenditure on health products?
Check any that apply
□ Not monitored
Patient out-of-pocket costs on health products is fully regulated and known
Routinely monitored through health claims data
Selectively assessed by academics in research projects
Selectively assessed through commissioned surveys
Other:
This question corresponds to recommendation 22 of the actions prioritized by the expert panel for the overall programme review and <u>WHA72.8</u> .
Recommendation 22: The WHO Secretariat, in cooperation with Member States and other partners, to establish mechanisms to monitor patient out-of-pocket expenditure on health products. (Indicator: Monitoring patient out-of-pocket expenditure on health products.)

	n the past two years, has your country assessed national procurement and supply chain capacity and/or practices, including assessments or external assessments?
0	Yes
0	No
•	No answer
_	This question corresponds to recommendation 26 of the actions prioritized by the expert panel for the overall programme review.
	Recommendation 26: The WHO Secretariat to promote best practices in countries and regional institutions to improve procurement and supply chain efficiency, including for joint procurement. (Indicator: Assessment of national and regional initiatives for promoting good practices to improve procurement and supply chain efficiency by 2022.)
and/	You have indicated that, in the past two years, your country has assessed national procurement and supply chain capacity for practices, including self-assessments or external assessments; therefore, please indicate the entity that performed the essment and upload below any relevant reports (excluding confidential materials).
	This question corresponds to recommendation 26 of the actions prioritized by the expert panel for the overall programme review.
p	Recommendation 26: The WHO Secretariat to promote best practices in countries and regional institutions to improve procurement and supply chain efficiency, including for joint procurement. (Indicator: Assessment of national and regional nitiatives for promoting good practices to improve procurement and supply chain efficiency by 2022.)
	ou can upload png, gif, doc, odt, pdf under 10240 KB each.
F	Please upload at most one file
<u>Uplo</u>	ad files

	You have indicated that, in the past two years, your country has <u>not</u> assessed national procurement and supply chain capacity d/or practices, including self-assessments or external assessments. Therefore, please indicate if there are existing plans to rform an assessment prior to the end of 2022.
Ch	eck any that apply
	Not planned
	Date of planned assessment
<b>②</b>	This question corresponds to recommendation 26 of the actions prioritized by the expert panel for the overall programme review.
	Recommendation 26: The WHO Secretariat to promote best practices in countries and regional institutions to improve procurement and supply chain efficiency, including for joint procurement. (Indicator: Assessment of national and regional initiatives for promoting good practices to improve procurement and supply chain efficiency by 2022.)
	You have indicated that there are existing plans to perform an assessment prior to the end of 2022; therefore, please provide date of the planned assessment.
	Format: dd-mm-yyyy
71	To what extent do the above assessments (completed and/or planned) address participation, benefits or other aspects of
reg	
	ional collaboration in procurement and supply chain management?
Ch	
Ch	ional collaboration in procurement and supply chain management?
Ch	ional collaboration in procurement and supply chain management?  oose one of the following answers
Ch	ional collaboration in procurement and supply chain management?  oose one of the following answers  Not at all
Ch	ional collaboration in procurement and supply chain management?  oose one of the following answers  Not at all  To some extent (e.g. consideration of potential collaboration)
Ch	ional collaboration in procurement and supply chain management?  oose one of the following answers  Not at all  To some extent (e.g. consideration of potential collaboration)  To a fair extent (e.g. feasibility or planning exercises)
Ch  O	ional collaboration in procurement and supply chain management?  oose one of the following answers  Not at all  To some extent (e.g. consideration of potential collaboration)  To a fair extent (e.g. feasibility or planning exercises)  To a great extent (e.g. assessment of active participation in regional initiatives)

	You have indicated that the above assessments (completed and/or planned) address participation, benefits or other aspects of gional collaboration in procurement and supply chain management; therefore, please describe.
73	To what extent does your country participate in collective negotiation for procurement of medicines and health products?
Ch	neck any that apply
	Not at all (e.g. all decentralized procurement, without a national central medical stores procurement and without demand consolidation with other countries)
	Consolidation of sub-national demand for at least some medicines and health products (e.g. use of a central medical stores at national level)
	Information sharing across countries within an established group
	Participation in external, collective negotiation (e.g. Global Drug Facility)
	Pooled procurement across countries, including use of joint contracting mechanisms
	Pooled procurement across countries, including joint contracting mechanisms and financing mechanisms
•	This question corresponds to recommendation 26 of the actions prioritized by the expert panel for the overall programme review.
	Recommendation 26: The WHO Secretariat to promote best practices in countries and regional institutions to improve procurement and supply chain efficiency, including for joint procurement. (Indicator: Assessment of national and regional initiatives for promoting good practices to improve procurement and supply chain efficiency by 2022.)
74	Are there additional plans to participate in collective negotiation prior to the end of 2022?
0	Yes
0	No
•	No answer
9	This question corresponds to recommendation 26 of the actions prioritized by the expert panel for the overall programme review.
	Recommendation 26: The WHO Secretariat to promote best practices in countries and regional institutions to improve procurement and supply chain efficiency, including for joint procurement. (Indicator: Assessment of national and regional initiatives for promoting good practices to improve procurement and supply chain efficiency by 2022.)

	You have indicated that there are additional plans to participate in collective negotiation prior to the end of 2022; therefore, ase indicate which ones are planned.
Ch	eck any that apply
	No additional plans
	Consolidation of sub-national demand for at least some medicines and health products (e.g., use of a central medical stores at national level)
	Information sharing across countries within an established group
	Participation in external, collective negotiation e.g., Global Drug Facility
	Pooled procurement across countries, including use of joint contracting mechanisms
	Pooled procurement across countries, including joint contracting mechanisms and financing mechanisms.
•	This question corresponds to recommendation 26 of the actions prioritized by the expert panel for the overall programme review.
	Recommendation 26: The WHO Secretariat to promote best practices in countries and regional institutions to improve procurement and supply chain efficiency, including for joint procurement. (Indicator: Assessment of national and regional initiatives for promoting good practices to improve procurement and supply chain efficiency by 2022.)
	Please describe what kind of best practices, based on assessments or available guidance, your country uses for procurement nedicines and other health products. Please also upload below any relevant information.
<b>(</b> )	This question corresponds to recommendation 26 of the actions prioritized by the expert panel for the overall programme review.
	Recommendation 26: The WHO Secretariat to promote best practices in countries and regional institutions to improve procurement and supply chain efficiency, including for joint procurement. (Indicator: Assessment of national and regional initiatives for promoting good practices to improve procurement and supply chain efficiency by 2022.)
77	You can upload png, gif, doc, odt, pdf under 10240 KB each.
	ease upload at most one file
←F	Previous Next→

# SECTION C. GSPA ELEMENTS: Promote sustainable financing mechanisms

78 In the past two years, has your country committed to increasing domestic resource mobilization and supporting the Addis Tax Initiative in order to, inter alia, implement the health-related Sustainable Development Goals?
Check any that apply
No commitment to increasing domestic resource mobilization and supporting the Addis Tax Initiative
Yes, commitment to increasing domestic resource mobilization
Yes, commitment to supporting the Addis Tax Initiative
This question corresponds to recommendation 29 of the actions prioritized by the expert panel for the overall programme review.
Recommendation 29: Member States to commit to increasing domestic resource mobilization and supporting the Addis Tax Initiative in order to, inter alia, implement the health-related Sustainable Development Goals. (Indicator: Data from Member States on domestic resource mobilization gathered by 2021.)
79 You have indicated that your country, in the past two years, has committed to increasing domestic resource mobilization; therefore, please provide data on domestic resource mobilization.

80 In the past two years, to what extent has your country encouraged the implementation of schemes which partially or wholly delink product prices from research and development costs, including actions recommended by the Consultative Expert Working Group on Research and Development: Financing and Coordination?
Choose one of the following answers
Not at all
To some extent
To a fair extent
To a great extent
No answer
This question corresponds to recommendation 30 of the actions prioritized by the expert panel for the overall programme review.
Recommendation 30: Member States to encourage the implementation of schemes which partially or wholly delink product prices from research and development costs, including actions recommended by the Consultative Expert Working Group on Research and Development: Financing and Coordination. (Indicator: New schemes to partially or wholly delink product prices from research and development costs developed, approved and implemented by 2022.)
81 You have indicated that, in the past two years, your country has encouraged the implementation of schemes which partially or wholly delink product prices from research and development costs; therefore, has your country been involved in the creation of <a href="mailto:new">new</a> schemes to partially or wholly delink product prices from research and development costs? If yes, please upload below additional information that describes your involvement.
O Yes
○ No
No answer
82 You can upload png, gif, doc, odt, pdf under 10240 KB each.
Please upload at most one file
<u>Upload files</u>

83 In the past two years, to what extent has your country encouraged an increase and diversification of funding for product development partnerships?  Choose one of the following answers  Not at all  To some extent  To a fair extent  To a great extent
Not at all  To some extent  To a fair extent
To some extent  To a fair extent
To some extent  To a fair extent
To a fair extent
To a great extent
No answer
This question corresponds to recommendation 31 of the actions prioritized by the expert panel for the overall programme review.
Recommendation 31: Member States, with the WHO Secretariat's support, to encourage an increase and diversification of funding for product development partnerships. (Indicator: increased and diversified funding for product development partnerships and progress as reported by G-Finder by 2022.)
84 You have indicated that, in the past two years, your country has encouraged an increase and diversification of funding for product development partnerships; therefore, please elaborate on how your country has encouraged an increase and diversification of funding for product development partnerships.
←Previous Next→

### SECTION D. OTHER ASPECTS

85 If you have any other information you wish to contribute or related documents that you wish to upload, please do so be sensitive, confidential or unpublished documents should be emailed to gspoa@who.int. We thank you again for taking the complete this questionnaire.  Element 1: Prioritize research and development needs  Element 2: Promote research and development	
Element 2: Promote research and development	
Element 3: Build and improve research capacity	
Element 4: Promote transfer of technology	
Element 5: Manage intellectual property to contribute to innovation and public health	
Element 6: Improve delivery and access	
Element 7: Promote sustainable financing mechanisms	
Element 8: Establish a monitoring and accountability mechanism	
86 You can upload png, gif, doc, odt, pdf under 10240 KB each.	
Please upload at most one file  Upload files	
87 You can upload png, gif, doc, odt, pdf under 10240 KB each.	
Please upload at most one file	
<u>Upload files</u>	
88 You can upload png, gif, doc, odt, pdf under 10240 KB each.	
Please upload at most one file	
<u>Upload files</u>	
Upload files  89 You can upload png, gif, doc, odt, pdf under 10240 KB each.	

90 You can upload png, gif, doc, odt, pdf under 10240 KB each. Please upload at most one file Upload files 91 You can upload png, gif, doc, odt, pdf under 10240 KB each. Please upload at most one file

Upload files

92 You can upload png, gif, doc, odt, pdf under 10240 KB each.

Please upload at most one file

Upload files

93 You can upload png, gif, doc, odt, pdf under 10240 KB each.

Please upload at most one file

Upload files

← Previous

Submit ±

### THANK YOU FOR YOUR PARTICIPATION IN THE QUESTIONNAIRE!

World Health Organization 20, Avenue Appia CH-1211 Geneva 27, SWITZERLAND E-mail: gspoa@who.int

Clear responses